

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/060,057	01/31/2002	Simon Pelly	1509-273	6577
7590 11/12/2004			EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			HO, THE T	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2126	
		DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/060,057	PELLY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The Thanh Ho	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2002.					
· <u> </u>) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	- "					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AMost words)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/02; 9/3/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/060,057 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 01/31/2002.

2. Claims 1-11 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hausauer U.S Patent No. 5,790,870.

As to claim 1, Hausauer teaches a method of operation of an SCSI enabled device (SCSI interface device 116 and its associated device driver, lines 44-46 column 7 and line 18 column 8, Fig. 3) in response to a parity error message (... when the master of an access becomes aware that a parity error has occurred on its transaction, it is required to inform the processor. The recommended route is for the master to inform its device driver of the error by generating an interrupt..., lines 42-46 column 7) coupled over an SCSI enabled bus (buses 194 and 196 connecting SCSI 116, Fig. 3), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/060,057

Art Unit: 2126

determining whether said SCSI enabled device is in a data transfer state (...the parity error is being detected during the data transaction, however the device is allowed to continue the transaction..., lines 38-46 column 7).

Hausauer does not explicitly teach generating a response message to an initiator. However, Hausauer teaches (lines 42-46 column 7; lines 59-64 column 9) that after the processor receives the parity error message, it sends out a command to the device in which the previous transferred data needs to be retransmitted. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the processor's command is a response message to an initiator since such command allows the data with parity error to be retransmitted.

As to claim 2, Hausauer as modified further teaches the data transfer operation will recommence from the start of the operation (the whole data is being retransmitted, lines 61-64 column 9).

As to claim 3, Hausauer teaches a method of operating an SCSI driver (SCSI interface device 116 and its associated device driver, lines 44-46 column 7 and line 18 column 8, Fig. 3), comprising:

carrying out a data transfer phase (data transfer, lines 33-34 column 7; data transaction, lines 59-60 column 7);

receiving a parity error message following said data transfer phase (...when the master of an access becomes aware that a parity error has occurred on its transaction, it is required to inform the processor. The recommended route is for the master to inform its device driver of the error by generating an interrupt..., lines 42-46 column 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/060,057

Art Unit: 2126

Hausauer does not explicitly teach sending a restore data pointer message. However, Hausauer teaches (lines 42-46 column 7; lines 59-64 column 9) that after the processor receives the parity error message, it sends out a command in which certain software program needs to be reexecuted and the data needs to be retransmitted. Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would conclude that the processor's command is a restore data pointer message since such command makes the software program to be restored to its original executing state and allows the data with parity error to be retransmitted.

As to claim 4, Hausauer as modified further teaches sending a message instructing recommencing the data transfer phrase after sending said restore data pointer message (the data is being retransmitted, lines 61-64 column 9).

As to claim 5, it is a computer device claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

As to claim 6, it is a computer device driver claim of claim 3. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 3 above. Hausauer as modified further teaches the processor being arranged for recognizing that a parity error message has occurred immediately after a data transfer phase (the processor is being informed of the parity error when it occurs during the data transaction, lines 42-46 column 7).

As to claim 7, it is a computer device driver claim of claim 4. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 4 above.

As to claim 8, it is a computer program of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

As to claim 9, Hausauer as modified further teaches a CD-ROM (154, Fig. 1); a magnetic data storage medium (110 and 112, Fig. 1).

As to claim 10, it is a computer device claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above.

As to claim 11, it is a computer device driver claim of claim 6. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 6 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to The Thanh Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 9306.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872 9306.

Art Unit: 2126

• NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 – 3762

TTH

November 3, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100